

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,323	10/31/2003	Mark Buehler	ITL.1025US (P16712)	9811
21906 TD OD DD I NI	7590 04/10/2007		EXAMINER	
1616 S. VOSS	NER & HU, PC SS ROAD, SUITE 750 RIGGLEMAN, JASON I TX 77057-2631	JASON PAUL		
HOUSTON, T	TX 77057-2631		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1746	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 M	ONTHS	04/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

4

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.00	10/698,323	BUEHLER, MARK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit ,				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Jason P. Riggleman	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some year to reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a note in the community of the	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 March 2007</u> .					
a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits						
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte</i> Q <i>uayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	•			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office		nformal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/698,323 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

- Applicant's reply filed on 3/28/2007 is acknowledged. Current pending claims are
   Claims 24-34 are canceled. Claims 1-23 have been previously presented or are original.
- 2. Applicant's arguments, filed 3/28/2007, have been fully considered and are persuasive in regards to the 102 (b) rejection of claims 2-4, 6-8, 11-12, 14, 16-18, and 22-23 over Wang et al. (US Patent No. 6638143). These rejections are withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's arguments, filed 3/28/2007, have been fully considered and are not persuasive in regards to the 102 (a) rejection of claims 1, 5, 9-10,13, 15, and 19-21 over Wang et al. (US Patent No. 6638143). These rejections are maintained; however, a previous publication of the reference is used --- Wang et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0077035) making the rejections 102 (b).
- 4. The applicant argues that Wang et al. fails to teach attaching ligands along a polymer bristle because there is no bristle; attaching ligands to make a hydrophilic property; attaching ligands to make an attraction to a specific material; and attaching ligands to change the zeta-potential. The examiner disagrees with the applicant on these points. Specifically, Wang et al. teaches a semiconductor cleaning brush (bristles inherent) with a polymer scrubbing element having a plurality of fixing ligands capable of complexing/chelating materials, paragraph [0008]. The ion exchange material has electrically charged groups (ligands) capable of forming complexes with anion or cations; therefore, changing the zeta-potential (surface charge) to positive or negative is

Application/Control Number: 10/698,323 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

inherently taught, paragraph [0035]. Also, it is inherent that a polymeric material that functions to complex cations or anions is hydrophilic due the polarity of the surface.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 10 recites the limitation "the" in "zeta-potential". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. A method of using a brush having ligands having a (positive or negative) zeta-potential is unclear. A zeta-potential is generally considered a measurement of the electrical potential of a particle (such as a colloid) in a solution. It is unclear how a brush, a macroscale object, or even ligands which are attached to the polymer backbone comprising the brush bristle can have a zeta-potential. For purposes of examination, this is assumed to be a method of using a brush having ligands which change the surface charge of the brush bristle in a given media.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 9. Claims 1, 5, 9-10, 13, 15, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Wang et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0077035).
- 10. Wang et al. teaches a semiconductor cleaning brush (bristles inherent) with a polymer (ion exchange material) scrubbing element having a plurality of fixing ligands capable of complexing/chelating materials, paragraph [0008]. The ion exchange materials has electrically charged groups (ligands) capable of forming complexes with anion or cations; therefore, changing the zeta-potential (surface charge) to positive or negative is inherently taught, paragraph [0035]. Also, it is inherent that a polymeric material that functions to complex cations or anions is hydrophilic due the polarity of the surface.
- 11. Claims 1, 3-20, and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Andros (US Patent No. 6033486).
- 12. Andros teaches a method for attaching cationic ligands along a polyvinyl alcohol polymer bristle of a brush (nub 65), Fig. 4, to form a semiconductor cleaning brush (Column 7, Lines 44-51). A coupling agent (epoxide 2,3-epoxytrimethylammonium chloride) is used to attach the positively charged ammonium ligand (Column 5, Lines 0-10). The cationic ligands are hydrophilic and provide attraction to a specific material–specifically particles of negative zeta-potential (Column 7, Lines 63-67) (Column 2, Lines 61-67). Andros teaches attaching a ligand having a subchain to the polymer Fig. 3 note bonded carbon atom 44 linking polymer chain 42 to subchain 43. The ligand can be considered the crosslinked polymer in Fig. 3; therefore, having a two

Art Unit: 1746

carbon minimum subchain length. The subchain is provided with a moiety – ammonium ligand – providing a property to the ligand. The cationic ligand is necessarily providing one of the three properties --- inert, reducing, or oxidizing depending on the medium and the chemical species in question – it may be any of the three and is not independent of the cleaning solution and particles and contaminants contained therein. It should be noted that polyvinyl alcohol is soluble in water – the cross-linking of the polymer forms the microsponge which is insoluble, also the degree of cross-linking (or ligand attachment to the backbone) determines the hydrophobicity (Column 4, Lines 0-65).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andros (US Patent No. 6033486), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sau (US Patent No. 5036137).
- 15. Andros teaches the addition of a ligand to a PVA bristle to effectively clean hydrophobic particles; however, Andros does not teach a hydrolysis reaction. Sau teaches the modification of polyvinyl alcohol polymer (naturally water soluble) with a silane coupling agent (which adds via a hydrolysis reaction) to effect cross-linking or to functionalize the polymer backbone with various ligands effecting hydrophobicity

Application/Control Number: 10/698,323

Art Unit: 1746

(Column 2, Lines 25-68). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Andros with Sau to create an effective polymer bristle for removing hydrophobic particles from wafers.

Page 6

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason P. Riggleman whose telephone number is 571-272-5935. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason P Riggleman

Examiner

Art Unit 1746

**JPR** 

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/698,323

Art Unit: 1746

Page 7